## Example 129.

- (a) Show that 7 is a primitive root modulo 26.
- (b) Using the first part, make a complete list of all primitive roots modulo 26.

## Solution.

- (a) We need to show that 7 has order  $\phi(26) = 12$ . The order of 7 (or any invertible residue) must divide  $\phi(26) = 12$ . Hence, the only possibilities for orders are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12. The fact that  $7^4 \equiv (-3)^2 \equiv 9 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{26}$  and  $7^6 \equiv (-3)^3 \equiv -1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{26}$  is enough (why?!) to conclude that the order of 7 must be 12.
- (b) Since 7 is a primitive root, all other invertible residues are of the form 7<sup>a</sup>.
  Recall that 7<sup>a</sup> has order 12/(gcd (12, a)). Thus, 7<sup>a</sup> is a primitive root if and only if gcd (12, a) = 1.
  Therefore, a list of all primitive roots modulo 26 is: 7, 7<sup>5</sup>, 7<sup>7</sup>, 7<sup>11</sup>
  [These are φ(φ(26)) = φ(12) = 4 many primitive roots.]

The same logic applies whenever there is at least one primitive root:

**Theorem 130. (number of primitive roots)** Suppose there is a primitive root modulo n. Then there are  $\phi(\phi(n))$  primitive roots modulo n.

**Proof.** Let x be a primitive root. It has order  $\phi(n)$ . All other invertible residues are of the form  $x^a$ . Recall that  $x^a$  has order  $\frac{\phi(n)}{\gcd(\phi(n), a)}$ . This is  $\phi(n)$  if and only if  $\gcd(\phi(n), a) = 1$ . There are  $\phi(\phi(n))$  values a among  $1, 2, ..., \phi(n)$ , which are coprime to  $\phi(n)$ . In conclusion, there are  $\phi(\phi(n))$  primitive roots modulo n.

**Comment.** Recall that, for instance, there is no primitive root modulo 8. That's why we needed the assumption that there should be a primitive root modulo n (which is the case if and only if n is of the form  $1, 2, 4, p^k, 2p^k$  for some odd prime p).

**Corollary 131.** There are  $\phi(\phi(p)) = \phi(p-1)$  primitive roots modulo a prime p.

**Example 132.** Let p be an odd prime. Show that at most half of the invertible residues modulo p are primitive roots.

**Solution.** In other words, we need to show that  $\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  be the primes, in increasing order, dividing p-1. Since  $p \neq 2$ , p-1 is divisible by 2, so that  $p_1 = 2$ .

$$\text{Fhen, } \phi(p-1) = (p-1) \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)}_{=1/2} \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdots}_{\leqslant 1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(p-1).$$

Consequently,  $\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \leqslant \frac{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}{p-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ , as claimed.

In fact. Note that  $\left(1-\frac{1}{p_2}\right) < 1$  if there is a second prime. Our proof therefore actually shows that  $\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} = \frac{1}{2}$  if and only if p-1 is of the form  $2^n$  (i.e. the only prime dividing p-1 is 2). Equivalently, if p is of the form  $2^n + 1$ . **Comment.** Primes of the form  $2^n + 1$  are known as **Fermat primes**. It can be shown that such a prime is, in fact, necessarily of the form  $F_k = 2^{2^k} + 1$ . The first five numbers  $F_0 = 3$ ,  $F_1 = 5$ ,  $F_2 = 17$ ,  $F_3 = 257$ ,  $F_4 = 65537$  are prime, and Fermat conjectured that  $F_k$  is prime for all  $k \ge 0$ . This was proven wrong by Euler who demonstrated that  $F_5 = 2^{32} + 1 = 641 \cdot 6700417$  (this was way before the time, we could ask a computer to factor not-too-large numbers). To this day, it is not known whether any further Fermat primes exist. **Example 133.** Recall that, for every prime p, primitive roots exist. The total number of primitive roots is  $\phi(\phi(p)) = \phi(p-1)$ . The following computations in Sage indicate that typically a "decent" proportion (25-50%) of all invertible residues are primitive roots. The exact proportion is, of course  $\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}$  but to say more about the magnitude, we need the factorization of p-1.

Advanced comment. However, the number of primitive roots can (though this is very rare) be an arbitrarily small proportion. In fact, a result of Kátai shows that, for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ , there is a proportion P(x) of primes with  $\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \leq x$ , and that P(x) is a strictly increasing continuous function with P(0) = 0 and P(1/2) = 1.

```
Sage] prime_range(30)
```

[2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29]

Sage] euler\_phi(26)

12

Sage] [p<sup>2</sup> for p in prime\_range(30)]

[4, 9, 25, 49, 121, 169, 289, 361, 529, 841]

Sage] [euler\_phi(p-1)/(p-1) for p in prime\_range(30)]

 $\left[1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{11}, \frac{3}{7}\right]$ 

Sage] list\_plot([euler\_phi(p-1)/(p-1) for p in prime\_range(3,10000)])

