**Example 7.** Let us do Gaussian elimination on  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$  until we have an echelon form:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_1 \Rightarrow R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

As last class, the row operation can be encoded by multiplication with an "almost identity matrix" E:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{E} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}}_{A} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}}_{I}$$

Since  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (no calculation needed!), this means that

$$A = E^{-1}U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We factored A as the product of a lower and an upper triangular matrix!

A = LU is known as the **LU decomposition** of A.

L is lower triangular, U is upper triangular.

If A is  $m \times n$ , then L is an invertible lower triangular  $m \times m$  matrix, and U is a usual **echelon form** of A. Every matrix A has a LU decomposition (after possibly swapping some rows of A first).

- The matrix U is just the echelon form of A produced during Gaussian elimination.
- The matrix L can be constructed, entry-by-entry, by simply recording the row operations
  used during Gaussian elimination. (No extra work needed!)

**Recall.** The **RREF** (row-reduced echelon form) of A is obtained from the echelon form by scaling the pivots to 1, and then eliminating the entries above the pivots. In our example, the RREF of A is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix.

[That's not surprising: A square matrix is invertible if and only if its RREF is the identity matrix. If that isn't obvious to you, think about how you invert a matrix using Gaussian elimination (after augmenting with identity...).]

**Example 8.** (extra) Determine the LU decomposition of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Review.** Recall the Gauss–Jordan method of computing  $A^{-1}$ . Starting with the augmented matrix  $[A \mid I]$ , we do Gaussian elimination until we obtain the RREF, which will be of the form  $[I \mid A^{-1}]$  so that we can read off  $A^{-1}$ .

Why does that work? By our discussion, the steps of Gaussian elimination can be expressed by multiplication (on the left) with a matrix B. Only looking at the first part of the augmented matrix, and since the RREF of an invertible matrix is I, we have BA = I, which means that we must have  $B = A^{-1}$ . The other part of the augmented matrix (which is I initially) gets multiplied with  $B = A^{-1}$  as well, so that, in the end, it is  $BI = A^{-1}$ . That's why we can read off  $A^{-1}$ !

## Review: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

If  $Ax = \lambda x$  (and  $x \neq 0$ ), then x is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (just a number).

Note that for the equation  $Ax = \lambda x$  to make sense, A needs to be a square matrix (i.e.  $n \times n$ ).

Key observation:

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$\iff Ax - \lambda x = 0$$

$$\iff (A - \lambda I)x = 0$$

This homogeneous system has a nontrivial solution x if and only if  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ .

To find eigenvectors and eigenvalues of A:

- (a) First, find the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  by solving  $\det(A \lambda I) = 0$ .  $\det(A \lambda I)$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$ , called the **characteristic polynomial** of A.
- (b) Then, for each eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , find corresponding eigenvectors by solving  $(A \lambda I)x = 0$ . More precisely, we find a basis of eigenvectors for the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace  $\operatorname{null}(A \lambda I)$ .

**Example 9.** 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 has one eigenvector that is "easy" to see. Do you see it?

**Solution.** Note that 
$$A \left[ egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[ egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] = 2 \left[ egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right]$$
. Hence,  $\left[ egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right]$  is a 2-eigenvector.

Just for contrast. Note that 
$$A \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array} \right] \neq \lambda \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$
. Hence,  $\left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$  is not an eigenvector.