Bruce C. Berndt and Armin Straub

1 Introduction

As customary, $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, Res > 1, denotes the Riemann zeta function. Let B_r , $r \ge 0$, denote the *r*-th Bernoulli number. When *n* is a positive integer, Euler's formula

$$\zeta(2n) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} B_{2n}}{2(2n)!} (2\pi)^{2n} \tag{1.1}$$

not only provides an elegant formula for evaluating $\zeta(2n)$, but it also tells us of the arithmetical nature of $\zeta(2n)$. In contrast, we know very little about the odd zeta values $\zeta(2n+1)$. One of the major achievements in number theory in the past half-century is R. Apéry's proof that $\zeta(3)$ is irrational [2], but for $n \ge 2$, the arithmetical nature of $\zeta(2n+1)$ remains open.

Ramanujan made many beautiful and elegant discoveries in his short life of 32 years, and one of them that has attracted the attention of several mathematicians over the years is his intriguing formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$. To be sure, Ramanujan's formula does not possess the elegance of (1.1), nor does it provide any arithmetical information. But, one of the goals of this survey is to convince readers that it is indeed a remarkable formula.

Theorem 1.1 (Ramanujan's formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$). Let B_r , $r \ge 0$, denote the *r*-th Bernoulli number. If α and β are positive numbers such that $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$, and if *n* is a positive integer, then

Bruce C. Berndt

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, 1409 W. Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA e-mail: berndt@illinois.edu

Armin Straub

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Alabama, 411 University Blvd N, Mobile, AL 36688, USA e-mail: straub@southalabama.edu

$$\alpha^{-n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2n+1) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2n+1}(e^{2m\alpha}-1)} \right) - (-\beta)^{-n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2n+1) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2n+1}(e^{2m\beta}-1)} \right) = 2^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{B_{2n+2-2k}}{(2n+2-2k)!} \alpha^{n+1-k} \beta^{k}.$$
(1.2)

Theorem 1.1 appears as Entry 21(i) in Chapter 14 of Ramanujan's second notebook [59, p. 173]. It also appears in a formerly unpublished manuscript of Ramanujan that was published in its original handwritten form with his lost notebook [60, formula (28), pp. 319–320].

The purposes of this paper are to convince readers why (1.2) is a fascinating formula, to discuss the history of (1.2) and formulas surrounding it, and to discuss the remarkable properties of the polynomials on the right-hand side of (1.2). We briefly point the readers to analogues and generalizations at the end of our paper.

In Section 2, we discuss Ramanujan's aforementioned unpublished manuscript and his faulty argument in attempting to prove (1.2). Companion formulas (both correct and incorrect) with the same parentage are also examined. In the following Section 3, we offer an alternative formulation of (1.2) in terms of hyperbolic cotangent sums. In Sections 4 and 5, we then discuss a more modern interpretation of Ramanujan's identity. We introduce Eisenstein series and their Eichler integrals, and observe that their transformation properties are encoded in (1.2). In particular, this leads us to a vast extension of Ramanujan's identity from Eisenstein series to general modular forms.

In a different direction, (1.2) is a special case of a general transformation formula for analytic Eisenstein series, or, in another context, a general transformation formula that greatly generalizes the transformation formula for the logarithm of the Dedekind eta function. We show that Euler's famous formula for $\zeta(2n)$ arises from the same general transformation formula, and so Ramanujan's formula (1.2) is a natural analogue of Euler's formula. All of this is discussed in Section 6.

In Section 7, we discuss some of the remarkable properties of the polynomials that appear in (1.2). These polynomials have received considerable recent attention, with exciting extensions by various authors to other modular forms. We sketch recent developments and indicate opportunities for further research. We then provide in Section 8 a brief compendium of proofs of (1.2), or its equivalent formulation with hyperbolic cotangent sums.

2 Ramanujan's Unpublished Manuscript

The aforementioned handwritten manuscript containing Ramanujan's formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$ was published for the first time with Ramanujan's lost notebook [60,

pp. 318–321]. This partial manuscript was initially examined in detail by the first author in [12], and by G.E. Andrews and the first author in their fourth book on Ramanujan's lost notebook [1, Chapter 12, pp. 265–284].

The manuscript's content strongly suggests that it was intended to be a continuation of Ramanujan's paper [56], [58, pp. 133–135]. It begins with paragraph numbered 18, giving further evidence that it was intended to be a part of [56]. One might therefore ask why Ramanujan did not incorporate this partial manuscript in his paper [56]. As we shall see, one of the primary claims in his manuscript is false. Ramanujan's incorrect proof arose from a partial fraction decomposition, but because he did not have a firm grasp of the Mittag–Leffler Theorem, he was unable to discern his mis-application of the theorem. Most certainly, Ramanujan was fully aware that he had indeed made a mistake, and consequently he wisely chose not to incorporate the results in this manuscript in his paper [56]. Ramanujan's mistake arose when he attempted to find the partial fraction expansion of $\cot(\sqrt{w\alpha}) \coth(\sqrt{w\beta})$. We now offer Ramanujan's incorrect assertion from his formerly unpublished manuscript.

Entry 2.1 (p. 318, formula (21)). *If* α *and* β *are positive numbers such that* $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$ *, then*

$$\frac{1}{2w} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m\alpha \coth(m\alpha)}{w + m^2 \alpha} + \frac{m\beta \coth(m\beta)}{w - m^2 \beta} \right\} = \frac{\pi}{2} \cot(\sqrt{w\alpha}) \coth(\sqrt{w\beta}). \quad (2.1)$$

We do not know if Ramanujan was aware of the Mittag–Leffler Theorem. Normally, *if* we could apply this theorem to $\cot(\sqrt{w\alpha}) \coth(\sqrt{w\beta})$, we would let $w \to \infty$ and conclude that the difference between the right- and left-hand sides of (2.1) is an entire function that is identically equal to 0. Of course, in this instance, we cannot make such an argument. We now offer a corrected version of Entry 2.1.

Entry 2.2 (Corrected Version of (2.1)). Under the hypotheses of Entry 2.1,

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\cot(\sqrt{w\alpha})\coth(\sqrt{w\beta}) = \frac{1}{2w} + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\beta}{\alpha}$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m\alpha \coth(m\alpha)}{w + m^2\alpha} + \frac{m\beta \coth(m\beta)}{w - m^2\beta} \right\}.$$
(2.2)

Shortly after stating Entry 2.1, Ramanujan offers the following corollary.

Entry 2.3 (p. 318, formula (23)). *If* α *and* β *are positive numbers such that* $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$ *, then*

$$\alpha \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m}{e^{2m\alpha} - 1} + \beta \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m}{e^{2m\beta} - 1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{24} - \frac{1}{4}.$$
 (2.3)

To prove (2.3), it is natural to formally equate coefficients of 1/w on both sides of (2.1). When we do so, we obtain (2.3), but without the term $-\frac{1}{4}$ on the right-hand side of (2.3) [1, pp. 274–275]. Ramanujan surely must have been perplexed by this, for he had previously proved (2.3) by other means. In particular, Ramanujan offered Entry 2.3 as Corollary (i) in Section 8 of Chapter 14 in his second notebook [59], [10, p. 255].

Similarly, if one equates constant terms on both sides of (2.2), we obtain the familiar transformation formula for the logarithm of the Dedekind eta function.

Entry 2.4 (p. 320, formula (29)). *If* α *and* β *are positive numbers such that* $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$ *, then*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(e^{2m\alpha} - 1)} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(e^{2m\beta} - 1)} = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha - \beta}{12}.$$
 (2.4)

Of course, if we had employed (2.1) instead of (2.2), we would not have obtained the expression $\frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ on the right-hand side of (2.4). Entry 2.4 is stated by Ramanujan as Corollary (ii) in Section 8 of Chapter 14 in his second notebook [59], [10, p. 256] and as Entry 27(iii) in Chapter 16 of his second notebook [59], [11, p. 43].

In contrast to Entries 2.3 and 2.4, Ramanujan's formula (1.2) can be derived from (2.1), because we equate coefficients of w^n , $n \ge 1$, on both sides, and so the missing terms in (2.1) do not come into consideration. We now give the argument likely given by Ramanujan. (Our exposition is taken from [1, p. 278].)

Proof. Return to (2.1), use the equality

$$\coth x = 1 + \frac{2}{e^{2x} - 1},\tag{2.5}$$

and expand the summands into geometric series to arrive at

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\cot(\sqrt{w\alpha})\coth(\sqrt{w\beta}) = \frac{1}{2w} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{w}{m^2 \alpha} \right)^k \left(1 + \frac{2}{e^{2m\alpha} - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w}{m^2 \beta} \right)^k \left(1 + \frac{2}{e^{2m\beta} - 1} \right) \right\}.$$
(2.6)

Following Ramanujan, we equate coefficients of w^n , $n \ge 1$, on both sides of (2.6). On the right side, the coefficient of w^n equals

$$(-\alpha)^{-n}\zeta(2n+1) + 2(-\alpha)^{-n}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m^{2n+1}(e^{2m\alpha}-1)} -\beta^{-n}\zeta(2n+1) + 2\beta^{-n}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m^{2n+1}(e^{2m\beta}-1)}.$$
(2.7)

Using the Laurent expansions for $\cot z$ and $\coth z$ about z = 0, we find that on the left side of (2.6),

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\cot(\sqrt{w\alpha})\coth(\sqrt{w\beta}) = \frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{k}2^{2k}B_{2k}}{(2k)!}(w\alpha)^{k-1/2} \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{2^{2j}B_{2j}}{(2j)!}(w\beta)^{j-1/2}.$$
(2.8)

The coefficient of w^n in (2.8) is easily seen to be equal to

$$2^{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{B_{2n+2-2k}}{(2n+2-2k)!} \alpha^k \beta^{n+1-k},$$
(2.9)

where we used the equality $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$. Now equate the expressions in (2.7) and (2.9), then multiply both sides by $(-1)^n \frac{1}{2}$, and lastly replace *k* by n + 1 - k in the finite sum. The identity (1.2) immediately follows.

As mentioned in the Introduction, Ramanujan also recorded (1.2) as Entry 21(i) of Chapter 14 in his second notebook [59, p. 173], [10, p. 271]. Prior to that on page 171, he offered the partial fraction decomposition

$$\pi^{2}xy\cot(\pi x)\coth(\pi y) = 1 + 2\pi xy\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n\coth(\pi nx/y)}{n^{2} + y^{2}} - 2\pi xy\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n\coth(\pi ny/x)}{n^{2} - x^{2}},$$
(2.10)

which should be compared with (2.1). The two infinite series on the right side of (2.10) diverge individually, but when combined together into one series, the series converges. Thus, most likely, Ramanujan's derivation of (1.2) when he recorded Entry 21(i) in his second notebook was similar to the argument that he used in his partial manuscript.

R. Sitaramachandrarao [70] modified Ramanujan's approach via partial fractions to avoid the pitfalls experienced by Ramanujan. Sitaramachandrarao established the partial fraction decomposition

$$\pi^{2} xy \cot(\pi x) \coth(\pi y) = 1 + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} (y^{2} - x^{2})$$

$$- 2\pi xy \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{y^{2} \coth(\pi m x/y)}{m(m^{2} + y^{2})} + \frac{x^{2} \coth(\pi m y/x)}{m(m^{2} - x^{2})} \right).$$
(2.11)

Using the elementary identities

$$\frac{y^2}{m(m^2+y^2)} = -\frac{m}{m^2+y^2} + \frac{1}{m}$$

and

$$\frac{x^2}{m(m^2 - x^2)} = \frac{m}{m^2 - x^2} - \frac{1}{m},$$

and then employing (2.5), he showed that

B.C. Berndt and A. Straub

$$\pi^{2}xy\cot(\pi x)\coth(\pi y) = 1 + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}(y^{2} - x^{2})$$

$$+ 2\pi xy \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m \coth(\pi m x/y)}{m^{2} + y^{2}} - \frac{m \coth(\pi m y/x)}{m^{2} - x^{2}}\right)$$

$$- 4\pi xy \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\pi m x/y} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{2\pi m y/x} - 1}\right).$$
(2.12)

Setting $\pi x = \sqrt{w\alpha}$ and $\pi y = \sqrt{w\beta}$ above and invoking the transformation formula for the logarithm of the Dedekind eta function from Entry 2.4, we can readily deduce (2.2). For more details, see [1, pp. 272–273].

The first published proof of (1.2) is due to S.L. Malurkar [49] in 1925–1926. Almost certainly, he was unaware that (1.2) can be found in Ramanujan's notebooks [59].

If we set $\alpha = \beta = \pi$ and replace *n* by 2n + 1 in (1.2), we deduce that, for $n \ge 0$,

$$\zeta(4n+3) = 2^{4n+2} \pi^{4n+3} \sum_{k=0}^{2n+2} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{B_{4n+4-2k}}{(4n+4-2k)!} - 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-4n-3}}{e^{2\pi k} - 1}.$$
(2.13)

This special case is actually due to M. Lerch [44] in 1901. The identity (2.13) is a remarkable identity, for it shows that $\zeta(4n+3)$ is equal to a rational multiple of π^{4n+3} plus a very rapidly convergent series. Therefore, $\zeta(4n+3)$ is "almost" a rational multiple of π^{4n+3} .

3 An Alternative Formulation of (1.2) in Terms of Hyperbolic Cotangent Sums

If we use the elementary identity (2.5), we can transform Ramanujan's identify (1.2) for $\zeta(2n+1)$ into an identity for hyperbolic cotangent sums, namely,

$$\alpha^{-n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\coth(\alpha m)}{m^{2n+1}} = (-\beta)^{-n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\coth(\beta m)}{m^{2n+1}} - 2^{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{B_{2n+2-2k}}{(2n+2-2k)!} \alpha^{n+1-k} \beta^k, \quad (3.1)$$

where, as before, *n* is a positive integer and $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$. To the best of our knowledge, the first recorded proof of Ramanujan's formula (1.2) in the form (3.1) was by T.S. Nanjundiah [51] in 1951. If we replace *n* by 2n + 1 and set $\alpha = \beta = \pi$, then (3.1) reduces to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\coth(\pi m)}{m^{4n+3}} = 2^{4n+2} \pi^{4n+3} \sum_{k=0}^{2n+2} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{B_{4n+4-2k}}{(4n+4-2k)!}.$$
 (3.2)

This variation of (2.13) was also first established by Lerch [44]. Later proofs were given by G.N. Watson [73], H.F. Sandham [65], J.R. Smart [71], F.P. Sayer [66], Sitaramachandrarao [70], and the first author [7], [8]. The special cases n = 0 and n = 1 are Entries 25(i), (ii) in Chapter 14 of Ramanujan's second notebook [59, p. 176], [10, p. 293]. He communicated this second special case in his first letter to Hardy [58, p. xxvi].

Significantly generalizing an idea of C.L. Siegel in deriving the transformation formula for the Dedekind eta function [69], S. Kongsiriwong [41] not only established (3.1), but he derived several beautiful generalizations and analogues of (3.1).

Deriving a very general transformation formula for Barnes' multiple zeta function, Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, and H. Tsumura [40] established not only (3.1), but also a plethora of further identities and summations in closed form for series involving hyperbolic trigonometric functions.

4 Eisenstein Series

A more modern interpretation of Ramanujan's remarkable formula, which is discussed for instance in [30], is that (1.2) encodes the fundamental transformation properties of Eisenstein series of level 1 and their Eichler integrals. The goal of this section and the next is to explain this connection and to introduce these modular objects starting with Ramanujan's formula. As in [26] and [30], set

$$F_a(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{-a}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad \sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Observe that, with $q = e^{2\pi i z}$, we can express $F_a(z)$ as a Lambert series

$$F_a(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{d|n} d^{-a} \right) q^n = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} d^{-a} q^{dm} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{-a} q^n}{1 - q^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{-a}}{e^{-2\pi i n z} - 1}$$

in the form appearing in Ramanujan's formula (1.2). Indeed, if we let $z = \alpha i / \pi$, then Ramanujan's formula (1.2) translates into

$$\left\{\frac{\zeta(2m+1)}{2} + F_{2m+1}(z)\right\} = z^{2m} \left\{\frac{\zeta(2m+1)}{2} + F_{2m+1}\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)\right\} + \frac{(2\pi i)^{2m+1}}{2z} \sum_{n=0}^{m+1} \frac{B_{2n}}{(2n)!} \frac{B_{2m-2n+2}}{(2m-2n+2)!} z^{2n}.$$
(4.2)

7

This generalization to values z in the upper half-plane $\mathscr{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ was derived by E. Grosswald in [25].

Ramanujan's formula becomes particularly simple if the integer *m* satisfies m < -1. In that case, with k = -2m, equation (4.2) can be written as

$$E_k(z) = z^{-k} E_k(-1/z),$$
 (4.3)

with

$$E_k(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\zeta(1-k)} F_{1-k}(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\zeta(1-k)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n.$$
(4.4)

The series E_k , for even k > 2, are known as the *normalized Eisenstein series* of weight k. They are fundamental instances of modular forms. A *modular form* of weight k and level 1 is a function G on the upper half-plane \mathcal{H} , which is holomorphic on \mathcal{H} (and as $z \to i\infty$), and transforms according to

$$(cz+d)^{-k}G(Vz) = G(z)$$
 (4.5)

for each matrix

Ì

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Here, as usual, $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the modular group of integer matrices *V* with determinant 1, and these act on \mathscr{H} by fractional linear transformations as

$$Vz = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}.$$
(4.6)

Modular forms of higher level are similarly defined and transform only with respect to certain subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Equation (4.3), together with the trivial identity $E_k(z+1) = E_k(z)$, establishes that $E_k(z)$ satisfies the modular transformation (4.5) for the matrices

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is well-known that *S* and *T* generate the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, from which it follows that $E_k(z)$ is invariant under the action of any element in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In other words, $E_k(z)$ satisfies (4.5) for all matrices in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

To summarize our discussion so far, the cases m < -1 of Ramanujan's formula (1.2) express the fact that, for even k > 2, the *q*-series $E_k(z)$, defined in (4.4) as the generating function of sums of powers of divisors, transforms like a modular form of weight *k*. Similarly, the case m = -1 encodes the fact that

$$E_2(z) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n) q^n,$$

as defined in (4.4), transforms according to

$$E_2(z) = z^{-2} E_2(-1/z) - \frac{6}{\pi i z}.$$

This is an immediate consequence of Ramanujan's formula in the form (4.2). The weight 2 Eisenstein series $E_2(z)$ is the fundamental instance of a *quasimodular form*.

In the next section, we discuss the case m > 0 of Ramanujan's formula (1.2) and describe its connection with Eichler integrals.

5 Eichler Integrals and Period Polynomials

If f is a modular form of weight k and level 1, then

$$z^{-k}f\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - f(z) = 0.$$

The derivatives of f, however, do not in general transform in a modular fashion by themselves. For instance, taking the derivative of this modular relation and rearranging, we find that

$$z^{-k-2}f'\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - f'(z) = \frac{k}{z}f(z).$$

This is a modular transformation law only if k = 0, in which case the derivative f' (or, the -1st integral of f) transforms like a modular form of weight 2. Remarkably, it turns out that any (k-1)st integral of f does satisfy a nearly modular transformation rule of weight k - 2(k-1) = 2 - k. Indeed, if F is a (k-1)st primitive of f, then

$$z^{k-2}F\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - F(z) \tag{5.1}$$

is a polynomial of degree at most k - 2. The function F is called an *Eichler integral* of f and the polynomials are referred to as *period polynomials*.

Let, as usual,

$$D = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} = q \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q}.$$

Remark 5.1. That (5.1) is a polynomial can be seen as a consequence of *Bol's identity* [16], which states that, for all sufficiently differentiable *F* and $V = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\frac{(D^{k-1}F)(Vz)}{(cz+d)^k} = D^{k-1}[(cz+d)^{k-2}F(Vz)].$$
(5.2)

Here, Vz is as in (4.6). If F is an Eichler integral of a modular form $f = D^{k-1}F$ of weight k, then

$$D^{k-1}[(cz+d)^{k-2}F(Vz)-F(z)] = \frac{(D^{k-1}F)(Vz)}{(cz+d)^k} - (D^{k-1}F)(z) = 0,$$

for all $V \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This shows that $(cz+d)^{k-2}F(Vz) - F(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most k-2. A delightful explanation of Bol's identity (5.2) in terms of Maass raising operators is given in [45, IV. 2].

Consider, for m > 0, the series

$$F_{2m+1}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{-2m-1}(n)q^n,$$

which was introduced in (4.1) and which is featured in Ramanujan's formula (4.2). Observe that

$$D^{2m+1}F_{2m+1}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{d|n} d^{-2m-1}\right) n^{2m+1}q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2m+1}(n)q^n,$$

and recall from (4.4) that this is, up to the missing constant term, an Eisenstein series of weight 2m + 2. It thus becomes clear that Ramanujan's formula (4.2), in the case m > 0, encodes the fundamental transformation law of the Eichler integral corresponding to the weight 2m + 2 Eisenstein series.

Remark 5.2. That the right-hand side of (4.2) is only almost a polynomial is due to the fact that its left-hand side needs to be adjusted for the constant term of the underlying Eisenstein series. To be precise, integrating the weight k = 2m + 2 Eisenstein series (4.4), slightly scaled, k - 1 times, we find that

$$G_{2m+1}(z) := \frac{\zeta(-1-2m)}{2} \frac{(2\pi i z)^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!} + F_{2m+1}(z)$$
(5.3)

is an associated Eichler integral of weight -2m. Keeping in mind the evaluation $\zeta(-1-2m) = -B_{2m+2}/(2m+2)$, Ramanujan's formula in the form (4.2) therefore can be restated as

$$z^{2m}G_{2m+1}\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - G_{2m+1}(z) = \frac{\zeta(2m+1)}{2}(1-z^{2m})$$

$$-\frac{(2\pi i)^{2m+1}}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{m}\frac{B_{2n}}{(2n)!}\frac{B_{2m-2n+2}}{(2m-2n+2)!}z^{2n-1},$$
(5.4)

where the right-hand side is a polynomial of degree k - 2 = 2m. Compare, for instance, [75, eq. (11)].

An interesting and crucial property of period polynomials is that their coefficients encode the critical *L*-values of the corresponding modular form. Indeed, consider a modular form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$$

of weight k. For simplicity, we assume that f is modular on the full modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (for the case of higher level, we refer to [54]). Let

$$f^*(z) := \frac{a(0)z^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{k-1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^{k-1}} q^n$$

be an Eichler integral of f. Then, a special case of a result by M.J. Razar [63] and A. Weil [74] (see also [30, (8)]) shows the following.

Theorem 5.3. If f and f^* are as described above, then

$$z^{k-2}f^*\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - f^*(z) = -\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{L(k-1-j,f)}{j!(2\pi i)^{k-1-j}} z^j,$$
(5.5)

where, as usual, $L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$.

Example 5.4. For instance, if

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi i)^{k-1} \zeta (1-k) E_k(z) = (2\pi i)^{k-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \zeta (1-k) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \right],$$

where E_k is the Eisenstein series defined in (4.4), then

$$f^*(z) = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi i)^{k-1} \zeta (1-k) \frac{z^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + F_{k-1}(z)$$

equals the function $G_{2m+1}(z)$, where k = 2m + 2, used earlier in (5.3). Observe that the *L*-series of the Eisenstein series f(z) is

$$L(s,f) = (2\pi i)^{k-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(n)}{n^s} = (2\pi i)^{k-1} \zeta(s) \zeta(1-k+s).$$

The result (5.5) of Razar and Weil therefore implies that

$$z^{k-2}f^*\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - f^*(z) = -\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{(2\pi i)^j \zeta(k-1-j)\zeta(-j)}{j!} z^j,$$
(5.6)

and the right-hand side of (5.6) indeed equals the right-hand side of (5.4), which we obtained as a reformulation of Ramanujan's identity. In particular, we see that (5.5) is a vast generalization of Ramanujan's identity from Eisenstein series to other modular forms.

6 Ramanujan's Formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$ and Euler's Formula for $\zeta(2n)$ are Consequences of the Same General Theorem

Ramanujan's formula (1.2) for $\zeta(2n+1)$ is, in fact, a special instance of a general transformation formula for generalized analytic Eisenstein series or, in another formulation, for a vast generalization of the logarithm of the Dedekind eta function.

We relate one such generalization due to the first author [5] and developed in [8], where a multitude of special cases are derived. Throughout, let *z* be in the upper half-plane \mathscr{H} , and let $V = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ be an element of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. That is, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and ad - bc = 1. Further, let $V_z = (az+b)/(cz+d)$, as in (4.6). In the sequel, we assume that c > 0. Let r_1 and r_2 be real numbers, and let *m* be an integer. Define

$$A(z, -m, r_1, r_2) := \sum_{n > -r_1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-m-1} e^{2\pi i k (nz + r_1 z + r_2)}$$
(6.1)

and

$$H(z, -m, r_1, r_2) := A(z, -m, r_1, r_2) + (-1)^m A(z, -m, -r_1, -r_2).$$
(6.2)

We define the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, a)$, for any real number *a*, by

$$\zeta(s,a) := \sum_{n > -a} (n+a)^{-s}, \quad \text{Re}\, s > 1.$$

For real numbers *a*, the characteristic function is denoted by $\lambda(a)$. Define $R_1 = ar_1 + cr_2$ and $R_2 = br_1 + dr_2$, where *a*,*b*,*c*,*d* are as above. Define

$$g(z, -m, r_1, r_2) := \lim_{s \to -m} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi i)^s} \left\{ -\lambda(r_1)(cz+d)^{-s}(e^{\pi i s}\zeta(s, r_2) + \zeta(s, -r_2)) + \lambda(R_1)(\zeta(s, R_2) + e^{-\pi i s}\zeta(s, -R_2)) \right\}.$$
(6.3)

As customary, $B_n(x)$, $n \ge 0$, denotes the *n*-th Bernoulli polynomial, and $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of *x*. Let $\rho := \{R_2\}c - \{R_1\}d$. Lastly, define

$$h(z, -m, r_1, r_2) := \sum_{j=1}^{c} \sum_{k=0}^{m+2} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}(cz+d)^{k-1}}{k!(m+2-k)!} B_k\left(\frac{j-\{R_1\}}{c}\right) B_{m+2-k}\left(\frac{jd+\rho}{c}\right),$$

where it is understood that if m + 2 < 0, then $h(z, -m, r_1, r_2) \equiv 0$.

We are now ready to state our general transformation formula [5, p. 498], [8, p. 150].

Theorem 6.1. If $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and m is any integer, then

$$(cz+d)^{m}H(Vz,-m,r_{1},r_{2}) = H(z,-m,R_{1},R_{2}) +g(z,-m,r_{1},r_{2}) + (2\pi i)^{m+1}h(z,-m,r_{1},r_{2}).$$
(6.4)

We now specialize Theorem 6.1 by supposing that $r_1 = r_2 = 0$ and that $V_z = -1/z$, so that c = 1 and d = 0. Note that, from (6.1) and (6.2),

$$H(z, -m, 0, 0) = (1 + (-1)^m) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-m-1}}{e^{-2\pi i k z} - 1}.$$
(6.5)

Theorem 6.2. If $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and m is any integer, then

$$z^{m}(1+(-1)^{m})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k^{-m-1}}{e^{2\pi ik/z}-1} = (1+(-1)^{m})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k^{-m-1}}{e^{-2\pi ikz}-1} + g(z,-m) + (2\pi i)^{m+1}\sum_{k=0}^{m+2}\frac{B_{k}(1)}{k!}\frac{B_{m+2-k}}{(m+2-k)!}(-z)^{k-1},$$
(6.6)

where

$$g(z, -m) = \begin{cases} \pi i - \log z, & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \{1 - (-z)^m\} \zeta(m+1), & \text{if } m \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
(6.7)

Corollary 6.3 (Euler's Formula for $\zeta(2n)$). For each positive integer n,

$$\zeta(2n) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} B_{2n}}{2(2n)!} (2\pi)^{2n}.$$
(6.8)

Proof. Put m = 2n - 1 in (6.6). Trivially, by (6.5), H(z, -2m + 1, 0, 0) = 0. Using (6.7), we see that (6.6) reduces to

$$(1+z^{2n-1})\zeta(2n) = \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n)!} \{B_1(1)B_{2n} - B_{2n}B_1z^{2n-1}\},$$
(6.9)

where we have used the values $B_k(1) = B_k$, $k \ge 2$, and $B_{2k+1} = 0$, $k \ge 1$. Since $B_1(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, Euler's formula (6.8) follows immediately from (6.9). \Box

Corollary 6.4 (Ramanujan's Formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$). Let α and β denote positive numbers such that $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$. Then, for each positive integer *n*,

$$\alpha^{-n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2n+1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-2n-1}}{e^{2\alpha k} - 1} \right\} = (-\beta)^{-n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2n+1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-2n-1}}{e^{2\beta k} - 1} \right\} - 2^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{B_{2n+2-2k}}{(2n+2-2k)!} \alpha^{n+1-k} \beta^k.$$
(6.10)

Proof. Set m = 2n in (6.6), and let $z = i\pi/\alpha$. Recall that $\pi^2/\alpha = \beta$. If we multiply both sides by $\frac{1}{2}(-\beta)^{-n}$, we obtain (6.10).

We see from Corollaries 6.3 and 6.4 that Euler's formula for $\zeta(2n)$ and Ramanujan's formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$ are natural companions, because both are special instances of the same transformation formula.

We also emphasize that in the foregoing proof, we assumed that *n* was a *positive* integer. Suppose that we had taken m = -2n, where *n* is a positive integer. Furthermore, if n > 1, then the sum of terms involving Bernoulli numbers is empty. Recall that

$$\zeta(1-2n) = -\frac{B_{2n}}{2n}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(6.11)

We then obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6.5. Let α and β be positive numbers such that $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$. Then, for any integer n > 1,

$$\alpha^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2n-1}}{e^{2\alpha k} - 1} - (-\beta)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2n-1}}{e^{2\beta k} - 1} = \{\alpha^{n} - (-\beta)^{n}\} \frac{B_{2n}}{4n}.$$
(6.12)

Corollary 6.5 is identical to Entry 13 in Chapter 14 of Ramanujan's second notebook [59], [10, p. 261]. It can also be found in his paper [57, p. 269], [58, p. 190] stated without proof. Corollary 6.5 is also formula (25) in Ramanujan's unpublished manuscript [60, p. 319], [1, p. 276].

Of course, by (6.11), we could regard (6.12) as a formula for $\zeta(1-2n)$, and so we would have a third companion to the formulas of Euler and Ramanujan. The first proof of (6.12) known to the authors is by M.B. Rao and M.V. Ayyar [61] in 1923, with Malurkar [49] giving another proof shortly thereafter in 1925. If we replace *n* by 2n + 1 in (6.12), where *n* is a positive integer, and set $\alpha = \beta = \pi$, we obtain the special case

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{4n+1}}{e^{2\pi k} - 1} = \frac{B_{4n+2}}{4(2n+1)},$$
(6.13)

which is due much earlier to J.W.L. Glaisher [24] in 1889. The formula (6.13) can also be found in Section 13 of Chapter 14 in Ramanujan's second notebook [59], [10, p. 262].

There is yet a fourth companion. If we set m = -2 in (6.6), proceed as in the proof of Corollary 6.4, and use (6.11), we deduce the following corollary, which we have previously recorded as Entry 2.3, and which can be thought of as "a formula for $\zeta(-1)$."

Corollary 6.6. Let α and β be positive numbers such that $\alpha\beta = \pi^2$. Then

$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{e^{2\alpha k} - 1} + \beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{e^{2\beta k} - 1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{24} - \frac{1}{4}.$$
 (6.14)

If $\alpha = \beta = \pi$, (6.14) reduces to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{e^{2\pi k} - 1} = \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{8\pi}.$$
(6.15)

Both the special case (6.15) and the more general identity (6.14) can be found in Ramanujan's notebooks [59, vol. 1, p. 257, no. 9; vol. 2, p. 170, Cor. 1], [10, pp. 255–256]. However, in 1877, O. Schlömilch [67], [68, p. 157] apparently gave the first proof of both (6.15) and (6.14).

In conclusion of Section 6, we point out that several authors have proved general transformation formulas from which Ramanujan's formula (6.10) for $\zeta(2n+1)$ can be deduced as a special case. However, in most cases, Ramanujan's formula was not explicitly recorded by the authors. General transformation formulas have been proved by A.P. Guinand [28], [29], K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan [18], T.M. Apostol [3], [4], M. Mikolás [50], K. Iseki [31], R. Bodendiek [14], Bodendiek

14

and U. Halbritter [15], H.-J. Glaeske [22], [23], D.M. Bradley [17], Smart [71], and P. Panzone, L. Piovan, and M. Ferrari [53]. Guinand [28], [29] did state (6.10). Due to a miscalculation, $\zeta(2n+1)$ did not appear in Apostol's formula [3], but he later [4] realized his mistake and so discovered (6.10). Also, recall that in Section 3, we mentioned the very general transformation formula for multiple Barnes zeta functions by Komori, Matsumoto, and Tsumura [40] that contains Ramanujan's formula (6.10) for $\zeta(2n+1)$ as a special case. Lastly, in the beautiful work of M. Katsurada [38], [39] on asymptotic expansions of *q*-series, Ramanujan's formula (1.2) arises as a special case.

We also have not considered further formulas for $\zeta(2n+1)$ that would arise from the differentiation of, e.g., (6.4) and (6.6) with respect to *z*; see [8].

7 The Associated Polynomials and their Roots

In this section we discuss the polynomials that are featured in Ramanujan's formula (1.2) and discuss several natural generalizations. These polynomials have received considerable attention in the recent literature. In particular, several papers focus on the location of zeros of these polynomials. We discuss some of the developments starting with Ramanujan's original formula and indicate avenues for future work.

Following [30] and [52], define the Ramanujan polynomials

$$R_{2m+1}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{m+1} \frac{B_{2n}}{(2n)!} \frac{B_{2m-2n+2}}{(2m-2n+2)!} z^{2n}$$
(7.1)

to be the polynomials appearing on the right-hand side of Ramanujan's formula (1.2) and (4.2). The discussion in Section 5 demonstrates that the Ramanujan polynomials are, essentially, the odd parts of the period polynomials attached to Eisenstein series of level 1. In [52], M.R. Murty, C.J. Smyth and R.J. Wang prove the following result on the zeros of the Ramanujan polynomials.

Theorem 7.1. For $m \ge 0$, all nonreal zeros of the Ramanujan polynomials $R_{2m+1}(z)$ lie on the unit circle.

Moreover, it is shown in [52] that, for $m \ge 1$, the polynomial $R_{2m+1}(z)$ has exactly four real roots and that these approach $\pm 2^{\pm 1}$, as $m \to \infty$.

Example 7.2. As described in [52], one interesting consequence of this result is that there exists an algebraic number $\alpha \in \mathscr{H}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$, $\alpha^{2m} \neq 1$, such that $R_{2m+1}(\alpha) = 0$ and, consequently,

$$\frac{\zeta(2m+1)}{2} = \frac{F_{2m+1}(\alpha) - \alpha^{2m}F_{2m+1}\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{2m} - 1},$$
(7.2)

where *F* is as in (4.1). In other words, the odd zeta values can be expressed as the difference of two Eichler integrals evaluated at special algebraic values. An extension of this observation to Dirichlet *L*-series is discussed in [13]. Equation (7.2) follows directly from Ramanujan's identity, with $z = \alpha$, in the form (4.2). Remarkably, equation (7.2) gets close to making a statement on the transcendental nature of odd zeta values: S. Gun, M.R. Murty and P. Rath prove in [30] that, as α ranges over *all* algebraic values in \mathcal{H} with $\alpha^{2m} \neq 1$, the set of values obtained from the right-hand side of (7.2) contains at most one algebraic number.

As indicated in Section 5, the Ramanujan polynomials are the odd parts of the period polynomials attached to Eisenstein series (or, rather, period functions; see Remark 5.2 and [75]). On the other hand, it was conjectured in [42], and proved in [43], that the full period polynomial is in fact *unimodular*, that is, all of its zeros lie on the unit circle.

Theorem 7.3. For m > 0, all zeros of the polynomials

$$R_{2m+1}(z) + \frac{\zeta(2m+1)}{(2\pi i)^{2m+1}}(z^{2m+1}-z)$$

lie on the unit circle.

An analog of Theorem 7.1 for cusp forms has been proved by J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer and Ö. Imamoglu [19], who show that, for any Hecke cusp form of level 1, the odd part of its period polynomial has trivial zeros at $0, \pm 2^{\pm 1}$ and all remaining zeros lie on the unit circle. Similarly, A. El-Guindy and W. Raji [20] extend Theorem 7.3 to cusp forms by showing that the full period function of any Hecke eigenform of level 1 has all its zeros on the unit circle.

Remark 7.4. In light of (5.4) it is also natural to ask whether the polynomials

$$p_m(z) = \frac{\zeta(2m+1)}{2}(1-z^{2m}) - \frac{(2\pi i)^{2m+1}}{2} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{B_{2n}}{(2n)!} \frac{B_{2m-2n+2}}{(2m-2n+2)!} z^{2n-1}$$

are unimodular. Numerical evidence suggests that these period polynomials $p_m(z)$ are indeed unimodular. Moreover, let $p_m^-(z)$ be the odd part of $p_m(z)$. Then, the polynomials $p_m^-(z)/z$ also appear to be unimodular. It seems reasonable to expect that these claims can be proved using the techniques used for the corresponding results in [52] and [43]. We leave this question for the interested reader to pursue.

Very recently, S. Jin, W. Ma, K. Ono and K. Soundararajan [32] established the following extension of the result of El-Guindy and Raji to cusp forms of any level.

Theorem 7.5. For any newform $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ of even weight k and level N, all zeros of the period polynomial, given by the right-hand side of (5.5), lie on the circle $|z| = 1/\sqrt{N}$.

Extensions of Ramanujan's identity, and some of its ramifications, to higher level are considered in [13]. Numerical evidence suggests that certain polynomials arising

as period polynomials of Eisenstein series again have all their roots on the unit circle. Especially in light of the recent advance made in [32], it would be interesting to study period polynomials of Eisenstein series of any level more systematically. Here, we only cite one conjecture from [13], which concerns certain special period polynomials, conveniently rescaled, and suggests that these are all unimodular.

Conjecture 7.6. For nonprincipal real Dirichlet characters χ and ψ , the generalized Ramanujan polynomial

$$R_k(z; \boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \sum_{s=0}^k \frac{B_{s, \boldsymbol{\chi}}}{s!} \frac{B_{k-s, \boldsymbol{\psi}}}{(k-s)!} \left(\frac{z-1}{M}\right)^{k-s-1} (1-z^{s-1})$$
(7.3)

is unimodular, that is, all its roots lie on the unit circle.

Here, $B_{n,\chi}$ are the generalized Bernoulli numbers, which are defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\chi} \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sum_{a=1}^{L} \frac{\chi(a) x e^{ax}}{e^{Lx} - 1},$$
(7.4)

if χ is a Dirichlet character modulo *L*. If χ and ψ are both nonprincipal, then $R_k(z; \chi, \psi)$ is indeed a polynomial. On the other hand, as shown in [13], if k > 1, then $R_{2k}(z; 1, 1) = R_{2k-1}(z)/z$, that is, despite their different appearance, the generalized Ramanujan polynomials reduce to the Ramanujan polynomials, introduced in (7.1), when $\chi = 1$ and $\psi = 1$. For more details about this conjecture, we refer to [13].

8 Further History of Proofs of (1.2)

In this concluding section, we shall offer further sources where additional proofs of Ramanujan's formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$ can be found. We emphasize that two papers of Grosswald [25], [27], each proving formulas from Ramanujan's second notebook, with the first giving a proof of (1.2), stimulated the first author and many others to seriously examine the content of Ramanujan's notebooks [59]. K. Katayama [36], [36], H. Riesel [64], S.N. Rao [62], L. Vepštas [72], N. Zhang [76], and N. Zhang and S. Zhang [77] have also developed proofs of (1.2). B. Ghusayni [21] has used Ramanujan's formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$ for numerical calculations.

For further lengthy discussions, see the first author's book [10, p. 276], his survey paper [6], his account of Ramanujan's unpublished manuscript [12], and his fourth book with Andrews [1, Chapter 12] on Ramanujan's lost notebook. These sources also contain a plethora of references to proofs of the third and fourth companions to Ramanujan's formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$. Another survey has been given by S. Kanemitsu and T. Kuzumaki [33].

Also, there exist a huge number of generalizations and analogues of Ramanujan's formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$. Some of these are discussed in Berndt's book [10, p. 276]

and his paper [9]. S.-G. Lim [46], [47], [48] has established an enormous number of beautiful identities in the spirit of the identities discussed in the present survey. Kanemitsu, Y. Tanigawa, and M. Yoshimoto [34], [35], interpreting Ramanujan's formula (1.2) as a modular transformation, derived further formulas for $\zeta(2n+1)$, which they have shown lead to a rapid calculation of $\zeta(3)$ and $\zeta(5)$, for example.

In Sections 4 and 5 we have seen that Ramanujan's formula can be viewed as describing the transformation laws of the modular Eisenstein series E_{2k} , where k > 1, of level 1 (that is, with respect to the full modular group), the quasimodular Eisenstein series E_2 as well as their Eichler integrals. We refer to [13] for extensions of these results to higher level.

References

- G.E. Andrews and B.C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Lost Notebook*, Part IV, Springer, New York, 2013.
- R. Apéry, Interpolation de fractions continues et irrationalite de certaines constantes, Bull. Section des Sci., Tome III, Bibliothéque Nationale, Paris, 1981, 37–63.
- T.M. Apostol, Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series, Duke Math. J. 17 (1950), 147–157.
- 4. T.M. Apostol, Letter to Emil Grosswald, January 24, 1973.
- B.C. Berndt, Generalized Dedekind eta-functions and generalized Dedekind sums, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 178 (1973), 495–508.
- B.C. Berndt, Ramanujan's formula for ζ(2n+1), in Professor Srinivasa Ramanujan Commemoration Volume, Jupiter Press, Madras, 1974, pp. 2–9.
- 7. B.C. Berndt, *Dedekind sums and a paper of G.H. Hardy*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **13** (1976), 129–137.
- B.C. Berndt, Modular transformations and generalizations of several formulae of Ramanujan, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 7 (1977), 147–189.
- B.C. Berndt, Analytic Eisenstein series, theta-functions, and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan, J. Reine Angew. Math. 304 (1978), 332–365.
- 10. B.C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- 11. B.C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part III, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- B.C. Berndt, An unpublished manuscript of Ramanujan on infinite series identities, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 19 (2004), 57–74.
- B.C. Berndt and A. Straub, On a secant Dirichlet series and Eichler integrals of Eisenstein series, Math. Z. 284 (2016), no. 3, 827–852.
- R. Bodendiek, Über verschiedene Methoden zur Bestimmung der Transformationsformeln der achten Wurzeln der Integralmoduln k²(τ) und k^{'2}(τ), ihrer Logarithmen sowie gewisser Lambertscher Reihen bei beliebigen Modulsubstitutionen, Dissertation, der Universität Köln, 1968.
- 15. R. Bodendiek and U. Halbritter *Über die Transformationsformel von* $\log \eta(\tau)$ *und gewisser Lambertscher Reihen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **38** (1972), 147–167.
- G. Bol, Invarianten linearer Differentialgleichungen, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg 16 (1949), 1–28.
- D.M. Bradley, Series acceleration formulas for Dirichlet series with periodic coefficients, Ramanujan J. 6 (2002), 331–346.

18

- K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, *Hecke's functional equation and arithmetical iden*tities, Ann. Math. 74 (1961), 1–23.
- J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer, and Ö. Imamoglu, *The nontrivial zeros of period polynomials of modular forms lie on the unit circle*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2013** (2013), no. 20, 4758–4771.
- A. El-Guindy and W. Raji, Unimodularity of roots of period polynomials of Hecke eigenforms, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 46 (2014), no. 3, 528–536.
- B. Ghusayni, *The value of the zeta function at an odd argument*, Internat. J. Math. Comp. Sci. 4 (2009), 21–30.
- H.-J. Glaeske, Eine einheitliche Herleitung einer gewissen Klasse von Transformationsformeln der analytischen Zahlentheorie (I), Acta Arith. 20 (1972), 133–145.
- H.-J. Glaeske, Eine einheitliche Herleitung einer gewissen Klasse von Transformationsformeln der analytischen Zahlentheorie (II), Acta Arith. 20 (1972), 253–265.
- J.W.L. Glaisher, On the series which represent the twelve elliptic and the four zeta functions, Mess. Math. 18 (1889), 1–84.
- E. Grosswald, Die Werte der Riemannschen Zeta-funktion an ungeraden Argumentstellen, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen (1970), 9–13.
- 26. E. Grosswald, *Remarks concerning the values of the Riemann zeta function at integral, odd arguments*, J. Number Theor. **4** (1972), no. 3, 225–235.
- 27. E. Grosswald, Comments on some formulae of Ramanujan, Acta Arith. 21 (1972), 25-34.
- A.P. Guinand, Functional equations and self-reciprocal functions connected with Lambert series, Quart. J. Math. 15 (1944), 11–23.
- 29. A.P. Guinand, Some rapidly convergent series for the Riemann ξ -function, Quart. J. Math. ser. (2) **6** (1955), 156–160.
- S. Gun, M.R. Murty, and P. Rath, *Transcendental values of certain Eichler integrals*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 43 (2011), 939–952.
- 31. S. Iseki, *The transformation formula for the Dedekind modular function and related functional equations*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 653–662.
- 32. S. Jin, W. Ma, K. Ono, and K. Soundararajan, *The Riemann Hypothesis for period polynomials of modular forms*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA (2016), to appear.
- S. Kanemitsu and T. Kuzumaki, *Transformation formulas for Lambert series*, Siauliai Math. Semin. 4 (12) (2009), 105–123.
- S. Kanemitsu, Y. Tanigawa, and M. Yoshimoto, On rapidly convergent series for the Riemann zeta-values via the modular relation, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 72 (2002), 187–206.
- S. Kanemitsu, Y. Tanigawa, and M. Yoshimoto, *Ramanujan's formula and modular forms*, in *Number Theoretic Methods - Future Trends*, S. Kanemitsu and C. Jia, eds., Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002, pp. 159–212.
- K. Katayama, On Ramanujan's formula for values of Riemann zeta-function at positive odd integers, Acta Arith. 22 (1973), 149–155.
- K. Katayama, Zeta-functions, Lambert series and arithmetic functions analogous to Ramanujan's τ-function. II, J. Reine Angew. Math. 282 (1976), 11–34.
- M. Katsurada, Asymptotic expansions of certain q-series and a formula of Ramanujan for specific values of the Riemann zeta function, Acta Arith. 107 (2003), 269–298.
- M. Katsurada, Complete asymptotic expansions for certain multiple q-integrals and qdifferentials of Thomae-Jackson type, Acta Arith. 152 (2012), 109–136.
- Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, and H. Tsumura, *Barnes multiple zeta-function, Ramanujan's formula, and relevant series involving hyperbolic functions*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 28 (2013), no. 1, 49–69.
- 41. S. Kongsiriwong, A generalization of Siegel's method, Ramanujan J. 20 (2009), no. 1, 1-24.
- 42. M.N. Lalín and M.D. Rogers, Variations of the Ramanujan polynomials and remarks on $\zeta(2j+1)/\pi^{2j+1}$, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **48** (2013), no. 1, 91–111.
- M.N. Lalín and C.J. Smyth, Unimodularity of roots of self-inversive polynomials, Acta Math. Hungar. 138 (2013), 85–101.
- 44. M. Lerch, Sur la fonction $\zeta(s)$ pour valeurs impaires de l'argument, J. Sci. Math. Astron. pub. pelo Dr. F. Gomes Teixeira, Coimbra **14** (1901), 65–69.

- J. Lewis and D. Zagier, Period functions for Maass wave forms. I, Ann. Math. 153 (2001), 191–258.
- S.-G. Lim, Generalized Eisenstein series and several modular transformation formulae, Ramanujan J. 19 (2009), no. 2, 121–136.
- S.-G. Lim, Infinite series identities from modular transformation formulas that stem from generalized Eisenstein series, Acta Arith. 141 (2010), no. 3, 241–273.
- S.-G. Lim, Character analogues of infinite series from a certain modular transformation formula, J. Korean Math. Soc. 48 (2011), no. 1, 169–178.
- S.L. Malurkar, On the application of Herr Mellin's integrals to some series, J. Indian Math. Soc. 16 (1925/26), 130–138.
- 50. M. Mikolás, Über gewisse Lambertsche Reihen, I: Verallgemeinerung der Modulfunktion $\eta(\tau)$ und ihrer Dedekindschen Transformationsformel, Math. Z. **68** (1957), 100–110.
- T.S. Nanjundiah, Certain summations due to Ramanujan, and their generalisations, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A 34 (1951), 215–228.
- M.R. Murty, C. Smyth, and R.J. Wang, Zeros of Ramanujan polynomials, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 26 (2011), 107–125.
- P. Panzone, L. Piovan, and M. Ferrari, A generalization of Iseki's formula, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 46(66) (2011), no. 1, 15–24.
- V. Paşol and A. A. Popa, *Modular forms and period polynomials*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 107 (2013), no. 4, 713–743.
- S. Ramanujan, Modular equations and approximations to π, Quart. J. Math. 45 (1914), 350– 372.
- S. Ramanujan, Some formulae in the analytic theory of numbers, Mess. Math. 45 (1916), 81– 84.
- S. Ramanujan, On certain trigonometric sums and their applications in the theory of numbers, Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 22 (1918), 259–276.
- S. Ramanujan, *Collected Papers*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927; reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1962; reprinted by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- 59. S. Ramanujan, *Notebooks* (2 volumes), Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1957; 2nd ed., 2012.
- 60. S. Ramanujan, The Lost Notebook and Other Unpublished Papers, Narosa, New Delhi, 1988.
- M.B. Rao and M.V. Ayyar, On some infinite series and products, Part I, J. Indian Math. Soc. 15 (1923/24), 150–162.
- S.N. Rao, A proof of a generalized Ramanujan identity, J. Mysore Univ. Sec. B 28 (1981–82), 152–153.
- 63. M.J. Razar, Values of Dirichlet series at integers in the critical strip, in Modular Functions of One Variable VI, J.-P. Serre and D.B. Zagier, eds., Lecture Notes in Mathematics **627**, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1977, pp. 1–10.
- 64. H. Riesel, Some series related to infinite series given by Ramanujan, BIT 13 (1973), 97-113.
- 65. H.F. Sandham, Some infinite series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 430-436.
- 66. F.P. Sayer, *The sum of certain series containing hyperbolic functions*, Fibonacci Quart. **14** (1976), 215–223.
- O. Schlömilch, Ueber einige unendliche Reihen, Ber. Verh. K. Sachs. Gesell. Wiss. Leipzig 29 (1877), 101–105.
- O. Schlömilch, Compendium der höheren Analysis, zweiter Band, 4th. ed., Friedrich Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig, 1895.
- 69. C.L. Siegel, A simple proof of $\eta(-1/\tau) = \eta(\tau)\sqrt{\tau/i}$, Mathematika 1 (1954), 4.
- 70. R. Sitaramachandrarao, Ramanujan's formula for $\zeta(2n+1)$, Madurai Kamaraj University Technical Report 4, pp. 70–117.
- 71. J.R. Smart, On the values of the Epstein zeta function, Glasgow Math. J. 14 (1973), 1–12.
- 72. L. Vepštas, On Plouffe's Ramanujan identities, Ramanujan J. 27 (2012), 387-408.
- 73. G.N. Watson, Theorems stated by Ramanujan II, J. London Math. Soc. 3 (1928), 216-225.

20

- 74. A. Weil, Remarks on Hecke's lemma and its use, in Algebraic Number Theory: Papers Contributed for the Kyoto International Symposium, 1976, S. Iyanaga, ed., Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, 1977, 267–274.
- 75. D.B. Zagier, *Periods of modular forms and Jacobi theta functions*, Invent. Math. **104** (1991), 449–465.
- 76. N. Zhang, Ramanujan's formula and the values of the Riemann zeta-function at odd positive integers (Chinese), Adv. Math. Beijing **12** (1983), 61–71.
- 77. N. Zhang and S. Zhang, Riemann zeta function, analytic functions of one complex variable, Contemp. Math. 48 (1985), 235–241.